



MONROE COUNTY &



MONROE COUNTY BAR ASSOCIATION ASSIGNED COUNSEL PROGRAM 2000 Annual Report

Monroe County

Jack Doyle, County Executive

Gary Pence, Director of Public Safety

Richard W. Youngman, Esq., ACP Administrator

Monroe County Bar Association

Cheryl A. Heller, Esq., President

Hon. Thomas Rainbow Morse, Advisory Committee Chair

April 26, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	2
<i>"To Provide Quality Representation"</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>"To Provide A Coordinated And Centralized Assignment System For Conflict Cases".....</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>"To Provide A More Equitable Distribution Of Conflict Assignments Among Lawyers" ...</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>"To Attract More Lawyers Willing To Handle Conflict Assignments"</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>"To Maintain Uniform And Proper Billing Practices And To Ensure Cost Accountability Of Services".....</i>	<i>5</i>
<i>"To Provide Increased Efficiency For The Courts".....</i>	<i>5</i>
TOTAL CASES REFERRED BY PANEL 1996-2000 ¹	11
2000 ASSIGNMENTS.....	12
2000 ABC FELONY ASSIGNMENTS	13
2000 DE FELONY ASSIGNMENTS	15
2000 MISDEMEANOR ASSIGNMENTS.....	19
2000 FAMILY COURT ASSIGNMENTS	24
2000 TABLE OF PROGRAM USE BY JUDICIARY	28
GRAPHS OF PROGRAM USE BY JUDICIARY	32
TYPES OF CASES ASSIGNED IN 2000.....	33
TOTAL DISPOSTIONS BY PANEL	39
TOTAL DISPOSTIONS OTHER CASES.....	40
2000 CASE COSTS BY PANEL	41
2000 COSTS BY CASE DISPOSITION.....	42

Introduction

2000 was the ninth year the Assigned Counsel Program operated in all courts. The following statistical information shows the Program's relative success in meeting the goals of the Monroe County Bar Association Sponsored Plan for Conflict Assignments as stated below.

"The objectives of this conflict assignments plan are to provide quality representation to eligible indigent defendants or other litigants in those cases where the Public Defender has a conflict of interest; to provide a coordinated and centralized assignment system for conflict cases arising in the courts specified in Article IV herein, to provide a more equitable distribution of conflict assignments among lawyers; to attract more lawyers willing to handle conflict assignments, to maintain uniform and proper billing practices; to ensure cost accountability of services, and to provide increased efficiency for the courts by making qualified attorneys more readily available to handle conflict cases." *Monroe County Bar Association Sponsored Plan for Conflict Assignments*, ARTICLE II. Plan Objectives



"To Provide Quality Representation"

The Program received fourteen initial complaints involving questionable, unethical or illegal conduct by participating attorneys. This is down from twenty-one sixteen in 1999. Clients were the source of seventeen complaints. The Monroe County Bar Association referred four complaints filed by clients, one less than in 1997. The Program has jurisdiction over open cases only. After investigation, the Assigned Counsel Program closed all complaints with no action taken against the attorney. One case referred by the Bar Association was for a closed case. All other complaints were disposed of either by the attorney and client reaching a mutually acceptable understanding or by the attorney's withdrawal from the case with the permission of the court. The Program removed one attorney from the panel lists due to suspension by the Appellate Division.

All complaints required only administrative action. No referral to the Assigned Counsel Program Review Committee was necessary. As in past years, failure of the attorney to maintain contact with incarcerated clients was the most frequent complaint. Failure of the attorney to appear prepared to proceed was again the most frequent complaint from the courts.

The Program's Motion and Brief Bank now contains several hundred documents. Development of a database has begun and on completion the documents will be classified, entered into the database. No index is yet available. However, in 2000 a number of motions and other documents were converted to electronic format and posted on the Program's Internet web site. Access to the documents is restricted to Program participants.

Throughout 2000 the Program sent the panel attorneys various bulletins, broadcast faxes, and newsletters informing the panel members of upcoming seminars and events and encouraging

them to take advantage of the opportunities offered by these programs to increase their knowledge and legal skills.

Since the CLE requirements of the Assigned Counsel Program overlap with the Mandatory Continuing Legal Education requirements of the State of New York, compliance is near 100%. The Program co-sponsored a CLE seminar with the New York State Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys and the New York State Defenders Association.

Following meetings between the Administrator and the Assigned Counsel Advisory Committee, the Committee recommended requirements for the establishment of a separate Homicide panel. The Committee forwarded the proposal to the Monroe County Bar Association Board of Trustees for approval. The approval came during 2000, however, the requirements have not yet been provided to the Administrator.

The Program's computer hardware and software systems were upgraded during 2000 and improvements were made to the database. The Administrator upgraded and improved the Program's Internet site with better graphics and more information, more links to other sites of interest to panel members were added, a section about developments in 18-B rate increases was added and the news and notes section was made more timely. Documents were added to the Motion and Brief Bank section of the web site.



"To Provide A Coordinated And Centralized Assignment System For Conflict Cases"

The Assigned Counsel Program is fully computerized. The Program enters all cases reported to it in a centralized database that tracks the representation from assignment through payment of the voucher. This system avoids duplication of representation by showing all open case for a particular client thus insuring that there is a continuity of representation if the client is arrested on new charges. This system also promptly closes any case thus clearing any potential conflict of interest that the Public Defender might have in representing the client in future case.

During 2000, the Program completed an upgrade and enhancement of the database platform to provide more and faster information. Assignments referred by the courts continue to be assigned from a rotating list of available attorneys. The Program, thanks to the dedicated efforts of Toni Armstrong, is very successful in insuring continuity of counsel where a client is re-arrested on new charges even when the arrests span differing jurisdictions. Additionally, the Program continues to track conflict of interest information so that counsel is not unnecessarily assigned when the Public Defender could ethically continue.

"To Provide A More Equitable Distribution Of Conflict Assignments Among Lawyers"

While not perfect, the Program does achieve a significant improvement over the previous system of assignment of conflict cases. The Program constantly strives for new and better systems to distribute assignments more equitably among the participating attorneys. In criminal cases, the current system is highly effective. There are still several local criminal courts that do not fully utilize the services of the Program in the assignment of counsel. This sometimes leads to a client having multiple attorneys for different pending charges. During 2000, the Program had some success with several local criminal courts agreeing to contact the Program before assigning counsel to find out whether the client already had assigned counsel in a pending case. This led to a more a reduction of multiple counsel cases.

A reduction both in the number of attorneys accepting assignments and number of assignments accepted by attorneys who still take assignments, led to a situation where, as in 1999, the distribution of cases was not as uniform as in past years. Hopefully, passage of legislation raising the hourly rates paid under Article 18-B of the County Law will address this problem. Most attorneys resigning from the Program or taking a reduced number of cases cite the low hourly rates as the reason for resignation or reduced activity. When it becomes difficult for an attorney to meet overhead expenses under the current rates, the attorney, no matter how dedicated, is forced to either resign or reduce the number of cases accepted.

Equitable distribution of cases remains low in Family Court cases. A mechanism must be found to address the inequities in Family Court assignments. The fact that a very few attorneys receive the bulk of the assignments places an undue burden on those attorneys and can impact directly on the quality of representation. More training through the appropriate committee of the Bar Association is necessary to familiarize the panel attorneys with local Family Court practice. The panel requirements should be reviewed with the Family Court judges to see if they are stringent enough. Lack of confidence in the quality of representation is a possible factor in the Judges' reluctance to use the full services offered by the Assigned Counsel Program. A Family Court component of a Trial Institute might alleviate the concern of the Family Court judges regarding the training of assigned counsel.



"To Attract More Lawyers Willing To Handle Conflict Assignments"

Included in this report is a list of new panel members added during 2000. In 2000, the Administrator spoke to newly admitted attorneys at a seminar sponsored by the Young Lawyers Committee of the Monroe County Bar Association to promote participation in the Assigned Counsel Program.

Although the Program takes every opportunity to remind the current panel members to apply for the more restrictive panels once they achieve the requisite qualifications, in 2000 the Program

experienced a drop in the number of qualified felony attorneys. Due to retirement, relocation and an inability to continue taking assigned cases at the current statutory rates, attorneys resigned from the Assigned Counsel Program and took fewer assignments, especially in serious felony cases. The requirements for inclusion on a felony panel include having conducted a misdemeanor jury trial or participated in jury selection in such a case coupled with conduct of a bench trial. Since so few misdemeanor trials are held each year, it is difficult for an attorney to meet this requirement.



"To Maintain Uniform And Proper Billing Practices And To Ensure Cost Accountability Of Services"

The Program continues to process a routine voucher and send it to the Judge within 48 hours of receipt. The Administrator reviews each voucher before processing to insure compliance with the voucher regulations and notifies each attorney of any noncompliance to educate the attorney on proper procedures. The review and notification help maintain proper and uniform billing practices among the participating attorneys.



"To Provide Increased Efficiency For The Courts"

Those courts fully utilizing the services of the Assigned Counsel Program report a positive impact on the efficiency in obtaining assigned counsel in conflict cases. They report a significant decrease in the burden on the court staff in finding attorneys willing to accept assignments, a decrease in the number of phone calls necessary to contact an attorney for assignment, a decrease in the voucher processing time since the vouchers are now clearly labeled as to the matter and already reviewed with comments by the Administrator, and a prompt response from the Assigned Counsel Program in obtaining assigned counsel.

In 1992, the County Executives Office began a program of training in Total Quality Management for the employees of Monroe County. That training continues. This training increases the efficiency of the internal operation and makes the Program more responsive to the needs of the Courts and the participating attorneys. The Strategic Framework of the Assigned Counsel Program is complete and development and refinement of the key result measures continues. 2001 Goals

The following are the goals for 2001:

- €# Implementation of a Homicide panel after the standard adopted by the Monroe County Bar Association are provided to the Administrator
- €# Promote the use of the WestLaw research terminal in the Program offices. This research capability should increase the efficiency of assigned counsel, improve the quality of representation and reduce costs.

- ## With the Microsoft Access development package, prepare a database of documents contained in the Motion and Brief Bank for distribution and posting on the Internet site.
- ## Continue scanning of selected documents from the Motion and Brief Bank so panel members can obtain electronic copies of the documents and so they can continue to be posted on the Internet for downloading.
- ## With the cooperation of the Monroe County Department of Information Services, develop a Lotus Notes address book of the participating attorneys.
- ## Develop an e-newsletter to be sent to participating attorneys with e-mail addresses. An e-newsletter can be more frequent, timelier and can contain links to outside sources. An e-newsletter is more cost efficient as the price of postage and printing is eliminated.



2000 Approved Panel List

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Aramini, Mary E.	Annechino, John A.	Adolph, Darylann Condello	Adolph, Darylann Condello
Aureli, Daniel L.	Aramini, Mary E.	Album, Betsy L.	Album, Betsy L.
Barr, Culver K.	Aureli, Daniel L.	Alquist, Mark H.	Annechino, John A.
Bertram, Dudley M.	Barr, Culver K.	Anderson, Christopher	Aramini, Mary E.
Bitetti, Gary	Bertram, Dudley M.	Annechino, John A.	Bernacki, Jr., John E.
Bourtis, Eftihia	Bitetti, Gary	Aramini, Mary E.	Bertram, Dudley M.
Broder, Howard K.	Bourtis, Eftihia	Arena, Patrick M.	Broder, Howard K.
Brown, J. Raymond	Broder, Howard K.	Aureli, Daniel L.	Bryant, Kevin C.
Burger, Michael	Brown, J. Raymond	Bernacki, Jr., John E.	Buettner, Brian C.
Burke, Adrian J.	Burger, Michael	Bertram, Dudley M.	Callanan, Karen Smith
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Burke, Adrian J.	Bitetti, Gary	Castellano, June
Coia, Richard	Cianca, Mark F.	Bourtis, Eftihia	Colombo, Jeanne M.
Conaty, Jr., George W.	Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Broder, Howard K.	Cooper, Jennie M.
Crimi, Joseph P.	Coia, Richard	Brooker, James G.	Costello, Paul Keely
Damelio, Joseph S.	Colombo, Jeanne M.	Brown, J. Raymond	Crimi, Joseph P.
Daniele, Anthony	Conaty, Jr., George W.	Bryant, Kevin C.	D'Arpino, John Joseph
DeJohn, Timothy W.	Crimi, Joseph P.	Buettner, Brian C.	DeJohn, Timothy W.
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Damelio, Joseph S.	Burger, Michael	Dimassimo, James D.
Egger, Jan P.	Daniele, Anthony	Burke, Adrian J.	Dinolfo, Joseph F.
Farrell, Barbara E.	Degnan, Peter J.	Chait, Mitchell A.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Funk, Mark D.	DeJohn, Timothy W.	Cianca, Mark F.	Enos, Gregory E.
Garretson, Scott A.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Farr, William H.
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Egger, Jan P.	Coia, Richard	Farrell, Barbara E.
Hinman, James S.	Farrell, Barbara E.	Colombo, Jeanne M.	Fazio, Jennifer L.
Holliday, Billie D.	Flowerday, Michael D.	Cooper, Jennie M.	Feldman, Sammy
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	Funk, Mark D.	Crimi, Joseph P.	Flowerday, Michael D.
Krane, Joel N.	Garretson, Scott A.	Crimi, Jr., Charles F.	Funk, Mark D.
Kristal, Peter L.	Hanlon, Garry Stephen	D'Arpino, John Joseph	Gaesser, David A.
Lappan, James	Hinman, James S.	Daniele, Anthony	Gladstone, Katherine
Leegant, Jo Anne	Holliday, Billie D.	Dedes, William C.	Goel, Vinita
Lewis, Herbert J.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.	Degnan, Peter J.	Hilderbrandt, Randall D.
Maggio, Frank G.	Jones, Jr., Michael A.	DeJohn, Timothy W.	Hinman, James S.
Mastrella, Daniel J.	Kasperek, Lawrence L.	Dimassimo, James D.	Holliday, Billie D.
Morabito, David R.	Krane, Joel N.	Dinolfo, Joseph F.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.
Muldoon, Gary	Kristal, Peter L.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Indivino, Deborah A.
Murante, David A.	Lappan, James	Egger, Jan P.	Jain, Rekha
Murray, D. Michael	Leegant, Jo Anne	Farrell, Barbara E.	Kantor, Theodore S.
Napier, Robert A.	Lester, Frederick	Flowerday, Michael D.	Karatas, Nigos
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Lewis, Herbert J.	Funk, Mark D.	King, Jr., William H.
Perez, Gilbert R.	Maggio, Frank G.	Gaesser, David A.	Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.
Pilato, Louis P.	Mastrella, Daniel J.	Garretson, Scott A.	Kristal, Peter L.
Pineau, Maureen A.	Merante, Vincent E.	Gladstone, Katherine	Lahman, Janice A.
Pullano, Peter J.	Morabito, David R.	Goel, Vinita	Lappan, James
Regan, Jr., John M.	Morabito, Michael A.	Goldman, Ronald S.	Leegant, Jo Anne
Regan, Michael J.	Muldoon, Gary	Gross, Bryon W.	Leichtner, Edward J.
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	Murante, David A.	Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Lester, Frederick
Renzi, Alexander R.	Murray, D. Michael	Hardies, Robert M.	Levitsky, Steven Brian
Rizzo, James J.	Napier, James A.	Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	Levitt, Glenn R.
Salamone, Jr., James D.	Nesser, Joseph G.	Hinman, James S.	Lewis, Herbert J.
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Holliday, Billie D.	Misseritti, Giuliana
Schiano, Michael P.	Owens, David L.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.	Morabito, David R.
Shapiro, Robert A.	Parks, Anthony	Infantino, Marc	Morabito, Michael A.
Shulman, Brian J.	Perez, Gilbert R.	Jain, Rekha	Muldoon, Gary

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Strazzeri, Francis A.	Pilato, Louis P.	Jones, Jr., Michael A.	Murch, David R.
Tallon, Michael J.	Pineau, Maureen A.	Karatas, Nigos	Nesser, Joseph G.
Thomas, Christopher D.	Pullano, Peter J.	Khuns, Kevin M.	Nicosia, Edward G.
Thompson, Donald M.	Regan, Jr., John M.	King, Jr., William H.	Obiorah, Edwin S.C.
Tuohey, Michael J.	Regan, Michael J.	Komanecky, Bradley W.	Offen, Alan L.
Vacca, James P.	Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.	Owens, David L.
Wood, Robert W.	Renzi, Alexander R.	Krane, Joel N.	Pennica, Kenneth L.
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	Rizzo, James J.	Krieger, Lawrence J.	Perez, Gilbert R.
	Salamone, Jr., James D.	Kristal, Peter L.	Pilato, Louis P.
	Schiano, Christopher	LaBue, Eugene P.	Plovanich, Charles
	Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	LaCelle, Erik C.	Proano, Galo M.
	Schiano, Michael P.	LaDuca, John J.	Renzi, Alexander R.
	Shapiro, Robert A.	Lahman, Janice A.	Ryan, Diana Deyo
	Shulman, Brian J.	Lappan, James	Sadinsky, Lisa A.
	Solomon, R. Adrian	Leegant, Jo Anne	Sammons, Elizabeth A.
	Stacy, Michael P.	Lester, Frederick	Scatigno, John M.
	Strazzeri, Francis A.	Levitsky, Steven Brian	Schell, George A.
	Tallon, Michael J.	Maggio, Frank G.	Schiano, Margaret A.
	Thomas, Christopher D.	Mastrella, Daniel J.	Sekharan, Raja N.
	Thompson, Donald M.	Merante, Vincent E.	Shulman, Brian J.
	Tuohey, Michael J.	Montemalo, Frank G.	Snodgrass, Cynthia L.
	Vacca, James P.	Morabito, David R.	St. George, Robert J.
	Winward, Thomas M.V.	Morabito, Michael A.	Stacy, Michael P.
	Wisner, Todd J.W.	Muldoon, Gary	Teator, Mary E.
	Wood, Robert W.	Murante, David A.	Thomas, Christopher D.
	Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	Murray, D. Michael	Thompson, Donald M.
		Napier, James A.	Tuohey, Michael J.
		Nesser, Joseph G.	Vacca, James P.
		O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	West, John R.
		O'Toole, Keith	Wisner, Todd J.W.
		Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Zaretsky, Allen J.
		Owens, David L.	Zunno, Harriet L.
		Parks, Anthony	

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
		Parrinello, J. Matthew	
		Pennica, Kenneth L.	
		Perez, Gilbert R.	
		Pilato, Louis P.	
		Pineau, Maureen A.	
		Proano, Galo M.	
		Pullano, Peter J.	
		Redmond, Gregg H.	
		Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	
		Renzi, Alexander R.	
		Rizzo, James J.	
		Rosner, Leonard A.	
		Sadinsky, Lisa A.	
		Salamone, Jr., James D.	
		Santariello, Michael	
		Schiano, Christopher	
		Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	
		Schiano, Michael P.	
		Scibetta, Michael P.	
		Sekharan, Raja N.	
		Shanahan, Paul F.	
		Shapiro, Robert A.	
		Shepard, John V.	
		Shulman, Brian J.	
		Solomon, R. Adrian	
		Stacy, Michael P.	
		Summers, Reed Noble	
		Tallon, Michael J.	
		Teator, Mary E.	
		Thomas, Christopher D.	
		Thompson, Donald M.	
		Tuohey, Michael J.	
		Vacca, James P.	


ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
		Vogt, Eric J.	
		West, John R.	
		Wisner, Todd J.W.	
		Wood, Robert W.	
		Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	

New Attorneys in 2000

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Burger, Michael	Burger, Michael	Alquist, Mark H.	
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	Jones, Jr., Michael A.	Brooker, James G.	
Strazzeri, Francis A.	Strazzeri, Francis A.	Burger, Michael	
		Gross, Bryon W.	
		Jones, Jr., Michael A.	
		King, Jr., William H.	
		Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	

Total Cases Referred by Panel 1996-2000¹

Panel	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000	TOTAL
ABC Felony	605	557	646	740	654	3202
DE Felony	365	420	409	383	381	1958
Misdemeanor	1426	1398	1444	1566	1467	7301
Family Court	919	1031	1023	1074	1123	5170
Appellate	22	21	77	75	55	250
Probation/Parole	139	162	193	191	184	869
Other	46	47	39	32	30	194
TOTAL	3522	3636	3831	4061	3894	18944



1. Does not represent number of assignments made, only initial cases referred for assignment.

2000 Assignments

The following charts show the assignments each attorney received in 2000. The number of assignments is higher than the number of cases referred because in some cases more than one attorney receives an assignment for that case. Also, an attorney might be assigned in 2000 to a case originally referred in a previous year. This occurs most often after a court relieves one attorney and either the court or the Assigned Counsel Program assigns a new attorney.

Several factors should be considered in looking at these tables. First, approved panel members receive more assignments than non-approved panel members do. Some of the attorneys with a low number of assignments are non-approved panel members. Most often, such an attorney is court assigned. Secondly, those attorneys gaining membership on a panel for the first time during 2000 will have fewer assignments in that panel because they were not on the panel for an entire year. Thirdly, an increasing number of attorneys declined a significant number of assignments, requested removal from the Program for long periods of time or resigned from the Program during 2000, especially the attorneys on the ABC felony panel. While the report includes non-approved attorneys, new panel members, attorneys declining appointments, and attorneys temporarily removed from panels at their own request, concentrating on those members who participated for the full year as approved members of a particular panel gives a truer picture of the equitable distribution of assignments.

The success of the program in achieving equitable distribution of cases is still good even when including all the attorneys and despite the decreasing number of participants. It is certainly still an improvement over the situation where only a very few attorneys received assignments. The last column of each table contains a running percentage of the total assignments. The more attorneys listed in a table before the percentage reaches fifty suggests a more equitable case distribution in that panel. Given the severely reduced number of attorneys who no longer participate due to the low hourly rates paid under §722-b of the County Law, the Courts have become more prone to assign to attorneys present in the courtroom or in whom they have more confidence due to the experience of the attorney. This is especially true in felony cases where many of the more experienced attorneys have either stopped accepting assigned cases or have greatly reduced the number of cases accepted.

Of particular significance in this report is the fact that in the criminal courts, where the Assigned Counsel Program assigns a larger percentage of cases, there is still a more even distribution of assignments. This is attributable to the fact that, by comparison, Family Court has a much lower percentage of cases assigned by the Assigned Counsel Program. Most assignments are directly by the court. Attaining more equitable distribution of cases is difficult, if not impossible. The Administrator and Advisory Committee must work diligently to provide a workable solution to the inequities of the Family Court assignments. Looking at the last column of the tables, it takes significantly fewer attorneys for the Family Court assignments to reach a high percentage than it does for the criminal court assignments. Likewise, the Task Force on Assigned Counsel Fees must act quickly to raise the rates paid to assigned attorneys. With more attorneys willing to accept assigned cases at a proposed rate nearer to market rates, the distribution of cases among the attorneys should improve.

2000 ABC Felony Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Shapiro, Robert A.	29	1	30	5.2%	30	5.2%
Pilato, Louis P.	25	2	27	4.7%	57	9.9%
Krane, Joel N.	22	4	26	4.5%	83	14.4%
Funk, Mark D.	22	3	25	4.3%	108	18.8%
Kristal, Peter L.	23	1	24	4.2%	132	23.0%
Garretson, Scott A.	19	5	24	4.2%	156	27.1%
Farrell, Barbara E.	15	9	24	4.2%	180	31.3%
Shulman, Brian J.	16	7	23	4.0%	203	35.3%
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	11	8	19	3.3%	222	38.6%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	12	6	18	3.1%	240	41.7%
Brown, J. Raymond	17	0	17	3.0%	257	44.7%
Hinman, James S.	14	3	17	3.0%	274	47.7%
Leegant, Jo Anne	15	0	15	2.6%	289	50.3%
Crimi, Joseph P.	14	1	15	2.6%	304	52.9%
Morabito, David R.	13	0	13	2.3%	317	55.1%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	13	0	13	2.3%	330	57.4%
Schiano, Michael P.	12	1	13	2.3%	343	59.7%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	7	6	13	2.3%	356	61.9%
Vacca, James P.	11	1	12	2.1%	368	64.0%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	11	0	11	1.9%	379	65.9%
Holliday, Billie D.	10	1	11	1.9%	390	67.8%
Egger, Jan P.	9	2	11	1.9%	401	69.7%
Barr, Culver K.	9	1	10	1.7%	411	71.5%
Aramini, Mary E.	9	0	9	1.6%	420	73.0%
Renzi, Alexander R.	8	1	9	1.6%	429	74.6%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Bourtis, Eftihia	8	0	8	1.4%	437	76.0%
Lewis, Herbert J.	8	0	8	1.4%	445	77.4%
Aureli, Daniel L.	7	1	8	1.4%	453	78.8%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	7	1	8	1.4%	461	80.2%
Perez, Gilbert R.	7	1	8	1.4%	469	81.6%
Murante, David A.	4	4	8	1.4%	477	83.0%
Thompson, Donald M.	4	4	8	1.4%	485	84.3%
Bertram, Dudley M.	7	0	7	1.2%	492	85.6%
Damelio, Joseph S.	5	2	7	1.2%	499	86.8%
Murray, D. Michael	5	2	7	1.2%	506	88.0%
Lappan, James	6	0	6	1.0%	512	89.0%
Rizzo, James J.	6	0	6	1.0%	518	90.1%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	5	0	5	0.9%	523	91.0%
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	5	0	5	0.9%	528	91.8%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	2	1	3	0.5%	531	92.3%
Schiano, Christopher	2	1	3	0.5%	534	92.9%
Mastrella, Daniel J.	1	2	3	0.5%	537	93.4%
Young, D. Scott	0	3	3	0.5%	540	93.9%
Jones, Jr., Michael A.	2	0	2	0.3%	542	94.3%
Maggio, Frank G.	2	0	2	0.3%	544	94.6%
Tuohey, Michael J.	2	0	2	0.3%	546	95.0%
Daniele, Anthony	1	1	2	0.3%	548	95.3%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	1	1	2	0.3%	550	95.7%
Lester, Frederick	1	1	2	0.3%	552	96.0%
Rowe, Douglas E.	1	1	2	0.3%	554	96.3%
Getz, Jon P.	0	2	2	0.3%	556	96.7%
Green, Scott M.	0	2	2	0.3%	558	97.0%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Rose, Angelo A.	0	2	2	0.3%	560	97.4%
Cianca, Mark F.	1	0	1	0.2%	561	97.6%
McCarty, Terence	1	0	1	0.2%	562	97.7%
Pullano, Peter J.	1	0	1	0.2%	563	97.9%
Regan, Michael J.	1	0	1	0.2%	564	98.1%
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	1	0	1	0.2%	565	98.3%
Bernacki, Thomas	0	1	1	0.2%	566	98.4%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	0	1	1	0.2%	567	98.6%
Donsky, Steven M.	0	1	1	0.2%	568	98.8%
LaDuca, Anthony	0	1	1	0.2%	569	99.0%
McGinn, William D.	0	1	1	0.2%	570	99.1%
Merante, Vincent E.	0	1	1	0.2%	571	99.3%
Miller, Richard A.	0	1	1	0.2%	572	99.5%
Muldoon, Gary	0	1	1	0.2%	573	99.7%
Napier, Robert A.	0	1	1	0.2%	574	99.8%
West, John R.	0	1	1	0.2%	575	100.0%
TOTAL	470	105	575			

2000 DE Felony Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Shulman, Brian J.	6	6	12	4.10%	12	4.10%
Kristal, Peter L.	11	0	11	3.75%	23	7.85%
Krane, Joel N.	7	3	10	3.41%	33	11.26%
Shapiro, Robert A.	9	0	9	3.07%	42	14.33%
Pilato, Louis P.	8	1	9	3.07%	51	17.41%
Garretson, Scott A.	7	2	9	3.07%	60	20.48%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Vacca, James P.	8	0	8	2.73%	68	23.21%
Merante, Vincent E.	3	5	8	2.73%	76	25.94%
Leegant, Jo Anne	7	0	7	2.39%	83	28.33%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	5	2	7	2.39%	90	30.72%
Crimi, Joseph P.	6	0	6	2.05%	96	32.76%
Lester, Frederick	6	0	6	2.05%	102	34.81%
Funk, Mark D.	5	1	6	2.05%	108	36.86%
Schiano, Michael P.	4	2	6	2.05%	114	38.91%
Thompson, Donald M.	4	2	6	2.05%	120	40.96%
Lappan, James	3	3	6	2.05%	126	43.00%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	5	0	5	1.71%	131	44.71%
Egger, Jan P.	5	0	5	1.71%	136	46.42%
Perez, Gilbert R.	5	0	5	1.71%	141	48.12%
Bourtis, Eftihia	4	1	5	1.71%	146	49.83%
Hinman, James S.	4	1	5	1.71%	151	51.54%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	4	1	5	1.71%	156	53.24%
Schiano, Christopher	2	3	5	1.71%	161	54.95%
Brown, J. Raymond	4	0	4	1.37%	165	56.31%
Jones, Jr., Michael A.	4	0	4	1.37%	169	57.68%
Napier, James A.	4	0	4	1.37%	173	59.04%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	4	0	4	1.37%	177	60.41%
Owens, David L.	4	0	4	1.37%	181	61.77%
Solomon, R. Adrian	4	0	4	1.37%	185	63.14%
Wood, Robert W.	4	0	4	1.37%	189	64.51%
Annechino, John A.	3	1	4	1.37%	193	65.87%
Parks, Anthony	3	1	4	1.37%	197	67.24%
Morabito, David R.	2	2	4	1.37%	201	68.60%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Rose, Angelo A.	1	3	4	1.37%	205	69.97%
Aramini, Mary E.	3	0	3	1.02%	208	70.99%
Farrell, Barbara E.	3	0	3	1.02%	211	72.01%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	3	0	3	1.02%	214	73.04%
Lewis, Herbert J.	3	0	3	1.02%	217	74.06%
Maggio, Frank G.	3	0	3	1.02%	220	75.09%
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	3	0	3	1.02%	223	76.11%
Barr, Culver K.	2	1	3	1.02%	226	77.13%
Rizzo, James J.	2	1	3	1.02%	229	78.16%
Aureli, Daniel L.	1	2	3	1.02%	232	79.18%
Cianca, Mark F.	1	2	3	1.02%	235	80.20%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	1	2	3	1.02%	238	81.23%
Damelio, Joseph S.	0	3	3	1.02%	241	82.25%
Bertram, Dudley M.	2	0	2	0.68%	243	82.94%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	2	0	2	0.68%	245	83.62%
Flowerday, Michael D.	2	0	2	0.68%	247	84.30%
Getz, Jon P.	2	0	2	0.68%	249	84.98%
Holliday, Billie D.	2	0	2	0.68%	251	85.67%
Renzi, Alexander R.	2	0	2	0.68%	253	86.35%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	2	0	2	0.68%	255	87.03%
Bitetti, Gary	0	2	2	0.68%	257	87.71%
Daniele, Anthony	0	2	2	0.68%	259	88.40%
Muldoon, Gary	0	2	2	0.68%	261	89.08%
Rath, Timothy C.	0	2	2	0.68%	263	89.76%
Regan, Richard E.	0	2	2	0.68%	265	90.44%
West, John R.	0	2	2	0.68%	267	91.13%
Burke, Adrian J.	1	0	1	0.34%	268	91.47%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Colombo, Jeanne M.	1	0	1	0.34%	269	91.81%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	1	0	1	0.34%	270	92.15%
Goldman, Ronald S.	1	0	1	0.34%	271	92.49%
Khuns, Kevin M.	1	0	1	0.34%	272	92.83%
McCarty, Terence	1	0	1	0.34%	273	93.17%
Morabito, Michael A.	1	0	1	0.34%	274	93.52%
Murante, David A.	1	0	1	0.34%	275	93.86%
Murray, D. Michael	1	0	1	0.34%	276	94.20%
O'Toole, Keith	1	0	1	0.34%	277	94.54%
Palmiere, David M.	1	0	1	0.34%	278	94.88%
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	1	0	1	0.34%	279	95.22%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	1	0	1	0.34%	280	95.56%
Stacy, Michael P.	1	0	1	0.34%	281	95.90%
Tuohey, Michael J.	1	0	1	0.34%	282	96.25%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	1	0	1	0.34%	283	96.59%
Donsky, Steven M.	0	1	1	0.34%	284	96.93%
Fazio, Jennifer L.	0	1	1	0.34%	285	97.27%
Karatas, Nigos	0	1	1	0.34%	286	97.61%
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	0	1	1	0.34%	287	97.95%
Keller, Kenneth C.	0	1	1	0.34%	288	98.29%
LaDuca, Anthony	0	1	1	0.34%	289	98.63%
Napier, Robert A.	0	1	1	0.34%	290	98.98%
Parrinello, J. Matthew	0	1	1	0.34%	291	99.32%
Scibetta, Michael P.	0	1	1	0.34%	292	99.66%
Young, D. Scott	0	1	1	0.34%	293	100.00%
TOTAL	220	73	293			

2000 Misdemeanor Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Shulman, Brian J.	8	15	23	2.43%	23	2.43%
Lappan, James	9	12	21	2.22%	44	4.64%
Merante, Vincent E.	7	10	17	1.79%	61	6.43%
Rose, Angelo A.	0	9	9	0.95%	70	7.38%
Schiano, Christopher	9	7	16	1.69%	86	9.07%
Farrell, Barbara E.	9	6	15	1.58%	101	10.65%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	7	5	12	1.27%	113	11.92%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	5	5	10	1.05%	123	12.97%
Gangarosa, Edward, C., 2nd	0	5	5	0.53%	128	13.50%
Perez, Gilbert R.	11	4	15	1.58%	143	15.08%
LaDuca, Anthony	8	4	12	1.27%	155	16.35%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	4	4	8	0.84%	163	17.19%
Reyes, Miguel A.	0	4	4	0.42%	167	17.62%
Funk, Mark D.	11	3	14	1.48%	181	19.09%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	11	3	14	1.48%	195	20.57%
Khuns, Kevin M.	4	3	7	0.74%	202	21.31%
Garretson, Scott A.	13	2	15	1.58%	217	22.89%
Annechino, John A.	9	2	11	1.16%	228	24.05%
Schiano, Michael P.	9	2	11	1.16%	239	25.21%
Aureli, Daniel L.	7	2	9	0.95%	248	26.16%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	7	2	9	0.95%	257	27.11%
Levitsky, Steven Brian	6	2	8	0.84%	265	27.95%
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	5	2	7	0.74%	272	28.69%
Renzi, Alexander R.	5	2	7	0.74%	279	29.43%
Morabito, David R.	3	2	5	0.53%	284	29.96%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Daniele, Anthony	2	2	4	0.42%	288	30.38%
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	1	2	3	0.32%	291	30.70%
Coletti, David R.	0	2	2	0.21%	293	30.91%
Young, D. Scott	0	2	2	0.21%	295	31.12%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	15	1	16	1.69%	311	32.81%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	14	1	15	1.58%	326	34.39%
Krane, Joel N.	12	1	13	1.37%	339	35.76%
Sekharan, Raja N.	12	1	13	1.37%	352	37.13%
Buettner, Brian C.	11	1	12	1.27%	364	38.40%
Pilato, Louis P.	10	1	11	1.16%	375	39.56%
Redmond, Gregg H.	10	1	11	1.16%	386	40.72%
Anderson, Christopher	9	1	10	1.05%	396	41.77%
Chait, Mitchell A.	9	1	10	1.05%	406	42.83%
Kristal, Peter L.	9	1	10	1.05%	416	43.88%
Parks, Anthony	9	1	10	1.05%	426	44.94%
Egger, Jan P.	8	1	9	0.95%	435	45.89%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	8	1	9	0.95%	444	46.84%
O'Toole, Keith	7	1	8	0.84%	452	47.68%
Summers, Reed Noble	7	1	8	0.84%	460	48.52%
Thompson, Donald M.	7	1	8	0.84%	468	49.37%
Crimi, Joseph P.	6	1	7	0.74%	475	50.11%
Flowerday, Michael D.	6	1	7	0.74%	482	50.84%
Infantino, Marc	6	1	7	0.74%	489	51.58%
Bourtis, Eftihia	4	1	5	0.53%	494	52.11%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	4	1	5	0.53%	499	52.64%
Krieger, Lawrence J.	1	1	2	0.21%	501	52.85%
Rath, Timothy C.	1	1	2	0.21%	503	53.06%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Winward, Thomas M.V.	1	1	2	0.21%	505	53.27%
Dever, Richard	0	1	1	0.11%	506	53.38%
Donsky, Steven M.	0	1	1	0.11%	507	53.48%
Fazio, Jennifer L.	0	1	1	0.11%	508	53.59%
Glaser, Alan	0	1	1	0.11%	509	53.69%
Goewey, R. Brian	0	1	1	0.11%	510	53.80%
McGinn, William D.	0	1	1	0.11%	511	53.90%
Muldoon, Gary	0	1	1	0.11%	512	54.01%
Snodgrass, Cynthia L.	0	1	1	0.11%	513	54.11%
Whitbeck, Paul M	0	1	1	0.11%	514	54.22%
Hinman, James S.	16	0	16	1.69%	530	55.91%
Shapiro, Robert A.	13	0	13	1.37%	543	57.28%
Proano, Galo M.	10	0	10	1.05%	553	58.33%
Gaesser, David A.	9	0	9	0.95%	562	59.28%
Jain, Rekha	9	0	9	0.95%	571	60.23%
Lester, Frederick	9	0	9	0.95%	580	61.18%
Owens, David L.	9	0	9	0.95%	589	62.13%
Wood, Robert W.	9	0	9	0.95%	598	63.08%
Album, Betsy L.	8	0	8	0.84%	606	63.92%
Aramini, Mary E.	8	0	8	0.84%	614	64.77%
Dedes, William C.	8	0	8	0.84%	622	65.61%
Dimassimo, James D.	8	0	8	0.84%	630	66.46%
LaCelle, Erik C.	8	0	8	0.84%	638	67.30%
Lahman, Janice A.	8	0	8	0.84%	646	68.14%
Maggio, Frank G.	8	0	8	0.84%	654	68.99%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	8	0	8	0.84%	662	69.83%
Santariello, Michael	8	0	8	0.84%	670	70.68%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Vacca, James P.	8	0	8	0.84%	678	71.52%
Arena, Patrick M.	7	0	7	0.74%	685	72.26%
Bryant, Kevin C.	7	0	7	0.74%	692	73.00%
Burke, Adrian J.	7	0	7	0.74%	699	73.73%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	7	0	7	0.74%	706	74.47%
Crimi, Jr., Charles F.	7	0	7	0.74%	713	75.21%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	7	0	7	0.74%	720	75.95%
Gladstone, Katherine	7	0	7	0.74%	727	76.69%
Goel, Vinita	7	0	7	0.74%	734	77.43%
Goldman, Ronald S.	7	0	7	0.74%	741	78.16%
Jones, Jr., Michael A.	7	0	7	0.74%	748	78.90%
LaDuca, John J.	7	0	7	0.74%	755	79.64%
Laragy, Christopher J.	7	0	7	0.74%	762	80.38%
Leegant, Jo Anne	7	0	7	0.74%	769	81.12%
O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	7	0	7	0.74%	776	81.86%
Pennica, Kenneth L.	7	0	7	0.74%	783	82.59%
Solomon, R. Adrian	7	0	7	0.74%	790	83.33%
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	7	0	7	0.74%	797	84.07%
Alexander, Christopher M.	6	0	6	0.63%	803	84.70%
Holliday, Billie D.	6	0	6	0.63%	809	85.34%
King, Jr., William H.	6	0	6	0.63%	815	85.97%
Murante, David A.	6	0	6	0.63%	821	86.60%
Scibetta, Michael P.	6	0	6	0.63%	827	87.24%
Bertram, Dudley M.	5	0	5	0.53%	832	87.76%
Brown, J. Raymond	5	0	5	0.53%	837	88.29%
Hardies, Robert M.	5	0	5	0.53%	842	88.82%
Karatas, Nigos	5	0	5	0.53%	847	89.35%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
LaBue, Eugene P.	5	0	5	0.53%	852	89.87%
Morabito, Michael A.	5	0	5	0.53%	857	90.40%
Napier, James A.	5	0	5	0.53%	862	90.93%
Tuohey, Michael J.	5	0	5	0.53%	867	91.46%
West, John R.	5	0	5	0.53%	872	91.98%
Cianca, Mark F.	4	0	4	0.42%	876	92.41%
Colburn, Martha B.	4	0	4	0.42%	880	92.83%
Fletcher, Jere B.	4	0	4	0.42%	884	93.25%
Rosner, Leonard A.	4	0	4	0.42%	888	93.67%
Salamone, Jr., James D.	4	0	4	0.42%	892	94.09%
Teator, Mary E.	4	0	4	0.42%	896	94.51%
Vogt, Eric J.	4	0	4	0.42%	900	94.94%
Alquist, Mark H.	3	0	3	0.32%	903	95.25%
Brooker, James G.	3	0	3	0.32%	906	95.57%
Getz, Jon P.	3	0	3	0.32%	909	95.89%
Ingersoll, Timothy E.	3	0	3	0.32%	912	96.20%
Murray, D. Michael	3	0	3	0.32%	915	96.52%
Palmiere, David M.	3	0	3	0.32%	918	96.84%
Parrinello, J. Matthew	3	0	3	0.32%	921	97.15%
Rizzo, James J.	3	0	3	0.32%	924	97.47%
Bitetti, Gary	2	0	2	0.21%	926	97.68%
Black, Nicole	2	0	2	0.21%	928	97.89%
Cooper, Jennie M.	2	0	2	0.21%	930	98.10%
Gross, Bryon W.	2	0	2	0.21%	932	98.31%
McCarty, Terence	2	0	2	0.21%	934	98.52%
Montemalo, Frank G.	2	0	2	0.21%	936	98.73%
Shepard, John V.	2	0	2	0.21%	938	98.95%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Stacy, Michael P.	2	0	2	0.21%	940	99.16%
Andersen, Charles Edward	1	0	1	0.11%	941	99.26%
Barr, Culver K.	1	0	1	0.11%	942	99.37%
D'Amanda, Richard	1	0	1	0.11%	943	99.47%
Epstein, Robert	1	0	1	0.11%	944	99.58%
Komanecy, Bradley W.	1	0	1	0.11%	945	99.68%
Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.	1	0	1	0.11%	946	99.79%
Pullano, Peter J.	1	0	1	0.11%	947	99.89%
Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.	1	0	1	0.11%	948	100.00%
TOTAL	790	158	948			

2000 Family Court Assignments

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	%	Running Total	Running %
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	1	91	92	8.08%	92	8.08%
Lester, Frederick	0	79	79	6.94%	171	15.03%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	1	73	74	6.50%	245	21.53%
Karatas, Nigos	1	69	70	6.15%	315	27.68%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	1	59	60	5.27%	375	32.95%
Funk, Mark D.	0	58	58	5.10%	433	38.05%
Levitsky, Steven Brian	0	58	58	5.10%	491	43.15%
Perez, Gilbert R.	2	38	40	3.51%	531	46.66%
Martin, Thomas N.	0	38	38	3.34%	569	50.00%
Proano, Galo M.	0	37	37	3.25%	606	53.25%
Leavy, Anthony	0	36	36	3.16%	642	56.41%
Gladstone, Katherine	1	30	31	2.72%	673	59.14%
Embury, Andrew J.	0	28	28	2.46%	701	61.60%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	%	Running Total	Running %
Hinman, James S.	2	27	29	2.55%	730	64.15%
Farr, William H.	2	26	28	2.46%	758	66.61%
Aramini, Mary E.	0	25	25	2.20%	783	68.80%
Callanan, Karen Smith	0	20	20	1.76%	803	70.56%
Alexander, Christopher M.	0	19	19	1.67%	822	72.23%
Renzi, Alexander R.	0	17	17	1.49%	839	73.73%
Shulman, Brian J.	2	16	18	1.58%	857	75.31%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	0	14	14	1.23%	871	76.54%
Annechino, John A.	0	13	13	1.14%	884	77.68%
Levitt, Glenn R.	0	13	13	1.14%	897	78.82%
Nesser, Joseph G.	1	10	11	0.97%	908	79.79%
Berkowitz, Joyce B.	0	8	8	0.70%	916	80.49%
Chait, Mitchell A.	0	7	7	0.62%	923	81.11%
Crimi, Joseph P.	0	7	7	0.62%	930	81.72%
St. George, Robert J.	0	7	7	0.62%	937	82.34%
Farrell, Barbara E.	1	6	7	0.62%	944	82.95%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	0	6	6	0.53%	950	83.48%
Indivino, Deborah A.	0	6	6	0.53%	956	84.01%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	0	6	6	0.53%	962	84.53%
Sekharan, Raja N.	2	5	7	0.62%	969	85.15%
Beretta, Frank	0	5	5	0.44%	974	85.59%
Brown, James E.	0	5	5	0.44%	979	86.03%
Colburn, Martha B.	0	5	5	0.44%	984	86.47%
Gangarosa, Edward, C., 2nd	0	5	5	0.44%	989	86.91%
Holliday, Billie D.	0	5	5	0.44%	994	87.35%
King, Jr., William H.	0	5	5	0.44%	999	87.79%
Krieger, Lawrence J.	0	5	5	0.44%	1004	88.22%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	%	Running Total	Running %
Colombo, Jeanne M.	1	4	5	0.44%	1009	88.66%
Cooper, Jennie M.	1	4	5	0.44%	1014	89.10%
Arena, Patrick M.	0	4	4	0.35%	1018	89.46%
Flowerday, Michael D.	0	4	4	0.35%	1022	89.81%
Pappalardo, Fauna M.	0	4	4	0.35%	1026	90.16%
Schiano, Margaret A.	1	3	4	0.35%	1030	90.51%
Aquilina, Vivian M.	0	3	3	0.26%	1033	90.77%
Dentino, Anthony A.	0	3	3	0.26%	1036	91.04%
Krane, Joel N.	0	3	3	0.26%	1039	91.30%
Leichtner, Edward J.	0	3	3	0.26%	1042	91.56%
Tirone, Gregg M.	0	3	3	0.26%	1045	91.83%
Buettner, Brian C.	4	2	6	0.53%	1051	92.36%
Jain, Rekha	3	2	5	0.44%	1056	92.79%
Laragy, Christopher J.	3	2	5	0.44%	1061	93.23%
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	1	2	3	0.26%	1064	93.50%
Kristal, Peter L.	1	2	3	0.26%	1067	93.76%
Zunno, Harriet L.	1	2	3	0.26%	1070	94.02%
Crowder, Debra A.	0	2	2	0.18%	1072	94.20%
Morris, Lisa B.	0	2	2	0.18%	1074	94.38%
Nacca, John	0	2	2	0.18%	1076	94.55%
Parks, Anthony	0	2	2	0.18%	1078	94.73%
Scibetta, Michael P.	0	2	2	0.18%	1080	94.90%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	2	1	3	0.26%	1083	95.17%
Snodgrass, Cynthia L.	2	1	3	0.26%	1086	95.43%
Album, Betsy L.	1	1	2	0.18%	1088	95.61%
Bertram, Dudley M.	1	1	2	0.18%	1090	95.78%
Lahman, Janice A.	1	1	2	0.18%	1092	95.96%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	%	Running Total	Running %
Misseritti, Giuliana	1	1	2	0.18%	1094	96.13%
Schell, George A.	1	1	2	0.18%	1096	96.31%
Stacy, Michael P.	1	1	2	0.18%	1098	96.49%
Burt, Margaret A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1099	96.57%
Gravitz, Jennifer L.	0	1	1	0.09%	1100	96.66%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	0	1	1	0.09%	1101	96.75%
Khuns, Kevin M.	0	1	1	0.09%	1102	96.84%
Pilato, Louis P.	0	1	1	0.09%	1103	96.92%
Pineau, Maureen A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1104	97.01%
Plovanich, Charles	0	1	1	0.09%	1105	97.10%
Rizzo, James J.	0	1	1	0.09%	1106	97.19%
Sammons, Elizabeth A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1107	97.28%
Schell, Jr., George A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1108	97.36%
Seidman, Steven J.	0	1	1	0.09%	1109	97.45%
Vazzana, James A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1110	97.54%
Wishengrad, Marcia H.	0	1	1	0.09%	1111	97.63%
Owens, David L.	5	0	5	0.44%	1116	98.07%
Dimassimo, James D.	3	0	3	0.26%	1119	98.33%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	2	0	2	0.18%	1121	98.51%
Bryant, Kevin C.	2	0	2	0.18%	1123	98.68%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	2	0	2	0.18%	1125	98.86%
Gaesser, David A.	2	0	2	0.18%	1127	99.03%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	2	0	2	0.18%	1129	99.21%
Castellano, June	1	0	1	0.09%	1130	99.30%
Costello, Paul Keely	1	0	1	0.09%	1131	99.38%
Goel, Vinita	1	0	1	0.09%	1132	99.47%
Jones, Jr., Michael A.	1	0	1	0.09%	1133	99.56%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total	%	Running Total	Running %
Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.	1	0	1	0.09%	1134	99.65%
Palmiere, David M.	1	0	1	0.09%	1135	99.74%
Teator, Mary E.	1	0	1	0.09%	1136	99.82%
Vacca, James P.	1	0	1	0.09%	1137	99.91%
West, John R.	1	0	1	0.09%	1138	100.00%
TOTAL	70	1068	1138			

2000 Table of Program Use by Judiciary

The table below shows the number of assignments for each Judge making at least one assignment during 2000. The table divides the assignments between those made through the Assigned Counsel Program and those made directly by the Judge. The last column shows the percentage of the total assignments that the Judge made through the Assigned Counsel Program. The statistics clearly show that Rochester City Court, which assigns the greatest number of cases in the County, is very high in percentage of cases assigned through the Assigned Counsel Program. In 2000 more Local Criminal Courts assigned exclusively through the Assigned Counsel Program. These facts directly correlate to the fact that the distribution of cases among the attorneys is greatest for criminal cases. Conversely, the statistics show that Family Court has a very low percentage of cases assigned through the Assigned Counsel Program. Therefore, Family Court has a very uneven distribution of cases among the attorneys. During 2000 there was a one percent (1%) increase in the number of court assigned cases. This is attributable in great part to the decreasing number of participating attorneys. As it becomes more difficult to maintain a sufficient number of qualified attorneys willing to accept assigned cases, more and more judges will deem it necessary to prevail upon qualified attorneys courts to accept assignments from the bench.




Judge	ACP	Court	Total	% ACP
Genier, Hon. Joseph T.	38	0	38	100.00%
Campbell, Hon. Vincent	25	0	25	100.00%
Egan, Hon. David D.	20	0	20	100.00%
Lomenzo, Jr., Hon. John P.	15	0	15	100.00%
Depferd, Hon. Mark R.	11	0	11	100.00%
Morris, Hon. Karen	10	0	10	100.00%
Farber, Hon. Sidney T.	9	0	9	100.00%

Judge	ACP	Court	Total	% ACP
Morris, Hon. James E.	9	0	9	100.00%
Schiano, Hon. Michael Patrick	7	0	7	100.00%
Barrett, Hon. John M.	6	0	6	100.00%
Michel, Hon. F. Robert	6	0	6	100.00%
Coapman, Hon. Carl A.	5	0	5	100.00%
Amarosa, Hon. Louis	3	0	3	100.00%
Cipolla, Hon. Salvatore	3	0	3	100.00%
Mullaly, Hon. Kathleen	3	0	3	100.00%
Alexander, Hon. Richard F.	2	0	2	100.00%
Hallock, Hon. Timothy	2	0	2	100.00%
LaRonde, Hon. William	2	0	2	100.00%
Wright, Hon. Gordon	2	0	2	100.00%
Arnold, Hon. Michael H.	1	0	1	100.00%
Barone, Hon. Vincent M.	1	0	1	100.00%
Connelly, Hon. James A.	1	0	1	100.00%
Gallina, Hon. Fred S.	1	0	1	100.00%
Hall, Hon. Robert E.	1	0	1	100.00%
Lunn, Hon. Robert J.	1	0	1	100.00%
Murante, Hon. David A.	1	0	1	100.00%
Pogroszewski, Hon. Stanley	1	0	1	100.00%
Rath, Hon. Paul W.	1	0	1	100.00%
Rood, Hon. Gary Wm.	1	0	1	100.00%
Vanstrydonck, Hon. Thomas M.	1	0	1	100.00%
King, Hon. Roy Wheatley	294	7	301	97.67%
Pfeiffer, Hon. Ann E.	247	7	254	97.24%
Byrnes, Hon. Marjorie L.	241	7	248	97.18%
Schwartz, Hon. John R.	183	6	189	96.83%

Judge	ACP	Court	Total	% ACP
Rogers, Hon. Charles W.	29	1	30	96.67%
Johnson, Hon. Teresa D.	305	12	317	96.21%
Diraddo, Hon. Raymond S.	31	2	33	93.94%
Appellate Division, Hon.	68	5	73	93.15%
Valentino, Hon. Joseph D.	44	4	48	91.67%
Geraci, Jr., Hon. Frank P.	32	3	35	91.43%
Corretore, Hon. David	10	1	11	90.91%
Morse, Hon. Thomas Rainbow	283	32	315	89.84%
Kehoe, Hon. Sharon M.	8	1	9	88.89%
Castro, Hon. Melchor E.	294	54	348	84.48%
Bellini, Hon. Elma A.	13	3	16	81.25%
Maloy, Hon. Charles T.	44	13	57	77.19%
Marks, Hon. Patricia D.	28	15	43	65.12%
Enos, Hon. Christopher J.	22	14	36	61.11%
Fisher, Hon. Kenneth R.	6	4	10	60.00%
Klonick, Hon. Thomas A	4	3	7	57.14%
Mark, Hon. Donald J.	11	9	20	55.00%
Renzi, Hon. Alexander	20	19	39	51.28%
Bristol, Hon. William H.	11	11	22	50.00%
Pisaturo, Hon. John J.	12	14	26	46.15%
Pericak, Hon. John G.	9	11	20	45.00%
Rivoli, Hon. John J.	7	9	16	43.75%
Dinolfo, Hon. Vincent M.	14	20	34	41.18%
Morabito, Hon. Ralph	1	2	3	33.33%
Connell, Hon. John J.	15	33	48	31.25%
Gordon, Hon. Julie Anne	2	5	7	28.57%
Kohout, Hon. Joan S.	65	180	245	26.53%

Judge	ACP	Court	Total	% ACP
Odorisi, Hon. J. Scott	2	6	8	25.00%
Ark, Hon. John J.	1	3	4	25.00%
Pietropaoli, Hon. Patrick	4	14	18	22.22%
Kopacki, Hon. John	5	29	34	14.71%
Olver, Hon. Melvin L.	1	6	7	14.29%
Bonadio, Hon. Anthony F.	1	12	13	7.69%
Donofrio, Hon. Gail	2	130	132	1.52%
Taddeo, Hon. Ann Marie	2	186	188	1.06%
Sciolino, Hon. Anthony J.	1	153	154	0.65%
Miles, Hon. Paul I.	1	169	170	0.59%
Miller, Hon. Michael J.	0	139	139	0.00%
Willis, Hon. Charles L.	0	48	48	0.00%
Strobridge, Hon. Maurice E.	0	35	35	0.00%
Morton, Hon. Glenn R.	0	18	18	0.00%
Doran, Hon. Craig J.	0	7	7	0.00%
Irizarry, Hon. Diana M.	0	2	2	0.00%
Keenan, Hon. Richard A.	0	1	1	0.00%
O'Connor, Hon. Marilyn Hoffman	0	1	1	0.00%
Sirkin, Hon. Stephen R.	0	1	1	0.00%

Graphs of Program Use by Judiciary



Types of Cases Assigned in 2000¹

Crime	Total
Custody/Visitation	484
Child Protective Proceeding	460
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 3	274
Petit Larceny	233
Assault 3	145
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 7	141
Harassment 2	119
Family Offense	118
Violation Probation	109
Robbery 1	86
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 3	83
Unauthorized Use Motor Vehicle 3	78
Parole Violation	75
Criminal Mischief 4	73
Loitering 1	65
Assault 2	56
Disorderly Conduct	54
Agg. Harassment 2	47
Appeal-Criminal Court (Fel.)	45
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 4	44
Criminal Contempt 2	43
Robbery 2	43
Burglary 2	42

¹ Based on cases referred to ACP for assignment. Assignment numbers are higher because during the pendency of a referred case, more than one attorney may be assigned to that case.

Crime	Total
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 5	39
Criminal Contempt 1	35
Burglary 3	31
Forgery 2	31
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 2	30
Grand Larceny 4	30
Unlawful Poss. Marihuana	29
Paternity	28
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 3	26
Menacing 2	25
Crim. Sale Marihuana 4	23
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2	22
Crim. Trespass 2	21
Driving While Intoxicated	21
Witness	21
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 5	20
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 3	20
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3	20
Assault 1	17
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 4	17
End. Welfare Child	17
Murder 2	17
Resisting Arrest	17
Burglary 1	16
Crim. Use Drug Paraphernalia 2	16
Criminal Mischief 3	16
Guardianship	15
False Personation	14

Crime	Total
Crim. Trespass 3	13
Poss. Imitation Controlled Substance	13
Robbery 3	13
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 2	12
Obstructing Govt'l Administration 2	12
Criminal Impersonation 2	11
Rape 1	10
Reckless Endangerment 1	9
Trespass	9
Alcoholic Beverage Control	8
Driving While Intoxicated (Fel.)	8
Foster Care	8
Grand Larceny 3	8
Appeal-Family Court	7
Crim. Poss. Weapon 4	7
Loitering	7
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 2	6
Criminal Mischief 2	6
Criminal Nuisance 2	6
Poss. Burglar's Tools	6
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 1	5
Prostitution	5
Sodomy 1	5
722-c Order	4
Adoption	4
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 1	4
Conspiracy 2	4
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 4	4

Crime	Total
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 3	4
Crim. Sale Marihuana 5	4
Criminal Facilitation 4	4
Issuing a Bad Check	4
Sexual Abuse 2	4
Support	4
Arson 3	3
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 2	3
Crim. Use Firearm 2	3
Criminal Contempt 1, Aggravated	3
Post-Conviction Motion	3
Public Lewdness	3
Robbery 1, Att.	3
Sexual Abuse 1	3
Sexual Abuse 3	3
Tampering Physical Evidence	3
Appeal-Criminal Court (Misd.)	2
Burglary 3, Att.	2
Fail Exercise Control of	2
Grand Larceny 3, Att.	2
Loitering to Promote Prostitution	2
Misapplication Property	2
Pins-Intervenor/FC	2
Promoting Prison Contraband 2	2
Rape 1, Att.	2
Rape 2	2
Rape 3	2
Reckless Endangerment 2	2

Crime	Total
Sexual Misconduct	2
Suspended Registration	2
Torturing & Injuring Animals	2
Unlawfully Deal w/Child 2	2
Abandonment of a Child	1
Aggravated Cruelty to Animals	1
Appeal - Court of Appeals	1
Arson 2	1
Assault 1, Att.	1
Assault 2, Att.	1
Assault 3, Att.	1
Bail Jumping 3	1
Burglary 2, Att.	1
Course of Sexual Conduct 1st	1
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 5	1
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 1	1
Crim. Poss. Hypodermic Instrument	1
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 1	1
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 2	1
Crim. Solicitation 5	1
Crim. Use Firearm 1	1
Custodial Interference 1	1
Driving While Ability Impaired	1
Driving While Ability Impaired-Drugs	1
Endangering Health of Other	1
Escape 3	1
Falsely Reporting Incident 2	1
Forgery 2, Att.	1

Crime	Total
Forgery 3	1
Fugitive	1
Intimidating Victim/Witness 3	1
Kidnapping 2	1
Loitering on School Grounds	1
Manslaughter 2	1
Mental Health Retention	1
Murder 1	1
Murder 2, Att.	1
Patronizing a Prostitute 4	1
Reckless Driving	1
Robbery 2, Att.	1
Sodomy 1, Att.	1
Stalking 4	1
Theft of Services	1
Unlawful Imprisonment 2	1
Unlicensed Operator	1
Welfare Fraud - Fel.	1
Welfare Fraud 3	1
Grand Total	3894

Total Dispositions by Panel²

Disposition	Panel				Grand Total
	ABC Felony	DE Felony	Family Court	Misdemeanor	
A.C.D.	3	5	30	330	368
Admit Petition			57	1	58
Alford Plea				3	3
Bench Trial - Acquittal	7	4		13	24
Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser		1		2	3
Bench Trial - Guilty Original				1	1
Bench Warrant	16	5	1	69	91
Client Retained Own Counsel	58	10	3	26	97
Conflict of Interest	1				1
Consolidated - Other Charges	16	21		27	64
Dismissed - CPL 30.30	87	27		74	188
Dismissed - Felony Complaint	30	16		4	50
Dismissed - Indictment	20	2			22
Dismissed - Information	5	7		222	234
Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	36	24		75	135
Incompetent to Stand Trial				2	2
Jury Trial - Acquittal	25	12		8	45
Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	19	2			21
Jury Trial - Guilty Original	43	7		3	53
No Bill - Grand Jury	123	58		1	182
No Conflict - PD Continued	4	1		1	6
Other	3	1	2		6

² All dispositions are reported by the assigned attorney when the attorney submits the claim voucher. These statistics are based solely on those reported dispositions.

	Panel				
Disposition	ABC Felony	DE Felony	Family Court	Misdemeanor	Grand Total
Petition Dismissed			304		304
Petition Found After Hearing			78		78
Plea To Reduced Charge	362	166		513	1041
Plea To Top Charge	68	60		286	414
Relieved By Court		2	11	1	14
Stipulated Settlement		1	816		817
Transfer to Family Court	4		1	2	7
Y.O. Adjudication	35	9		32	76
Grand Total	965	441	1303	1696	4405

Total Dispositions Other Cases

# Cases	Panel			
Disposition	Appellate	Other	Probation/Parole	Grand Total
Admit Petition			109	109
Appeal Judgement - Discontinued	1			1
Appeal Judgment - Affirmed	34			34
Appeal Judgment - Modified	2		1	3
Appeal Judgment - Reversed	1	1		2
Appeal Sentence - Affirmed	10			10
Bench Warrant			1	1
Client Retained Own Counsel		1	4	5
Consolidated - Other Charges			6	6
Dismissed - Information		1	1	2
Dismissed - Plea in Other Court			3	3
Jury Trial - Guilty Original			1	1
No Conflict - PD Continued	1		3	4
Other		21		21

# Cases	Panel			
Disposition	Appellate	Other	Probation/Parole	Grand Total
Petition Dismissed	2		17	19
Petition Found After Hearing			2	2
Plea To Reduced Charge			2	2
Plea To Top Charge			3	3
Relieved By Court	1			1
Grand Total	52	24	153	229

2000 Case Costs by Panel³

2000 Costs	Type of Cost			
Panel	Attorney Expenses	Attorney Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
ABC Felony	\$3,145	\$476,904	\$55,711	\$535,761
Appellate	\$4,141	\$101,808	\$7,838	\$113,786
DE Felony	\$1,296	\$156,847	\$6,860	\$165,003
Family Court	\$3,545	\$342,928	\$7,119	\$353,592
Misdemeanor	\$1,638	\$384,003	\$2,056	\$387,697
Other	\$14	\$3,481	\$5,602	\$9,097
Probation/Parole	\$517	\$43,342	\$1,254	\$45,112
Grand Total	\$14,296	\$1,509,313	\$86,439	\$1,610,048

³ Includes all cases closed and paid in 2000 even if assigned in a prior year.

2000 Costs by Case Disposition

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
ABC Felony	A.C.D.	Total		\$1,498		\$1,498
		Avg		\$499		\$499
		Max		\$628		\$628
		Min		\$333		\$333
		#		3		3
	Bench Trial - Acquittal	Total	\$10	\$2,137	\$300	\$2,447
		Avg	\$10	\$534	\$300	\$408
		Max	\$10	\$761	\$300	\$761
		Min	\$10	\$219	\$300	\$10
		#	1	4	1	6
	Bench Warrant	Total	\$6	\$3,117	\$165	\$3,288
		Avg	\$2	\$283	\$165	\$219
		Max	\$3	\$800	\$165	\$800
		Min	\$1	\$35	\$165	\$1
		#	3	11	1	15
	Client Retained Own Counsel	Total	\$26	\$10,498	\$278	\$10,802
		Avg	\$4	\$276	\$70	\$220
		Max	\$9	\$3,857	\$108	\$3,857
		Min	\$1	\$25	\$40	\$1
		#	7	38	4	49
	Conflict of Interest	Total		\$40		\$40
		Avg		\$40		\$40
		Max		\$40		\$40
		Min		\$40		\$40
		#		1		1
	Consolidated - Other Charges	Total	\$12	\$3,308		\$3,320
		Avg	\$4	\$236		\$195

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Max	\$12	\$802		\$802
		Min	\$0	\$40		\$0
		#	3	14		17
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	Total	\$113	\$16,450	\$677	\$17,240
		Avg	\$6	\$284	\$226	\$218
		Max	\$21	\$1,034	\$270	\$1,034
		Min	\$0	\$43	\$202	\$0
		#	18	58	3	79
	Dismissed - Felony Complaint	Total	\$66	\$6,488	\$16	\$6,570
		Avg	\$6	\$360	\$16	\$219
		Max	\$11	\$999	\$16	\$999
		Min	\$2	\$40	\$16	\$2
		#	11	18	1	30
	Dismissed - Indictment	Total	\$108	\$11,967	\$616	\$12,691
		Avg	\$22	\$997	\$205	\$635
		Max	\$47	\$4,579	\$300	\$4,579
		Min	\$2	\$109	\$16	\$2
		#	5	12	3	20
	Dismissed - Information	Total	\$11	\$1,433	\$356	\$1,800
		Avg	\$11	\$478	\$178	\$300
		Max	\$11	\$788	\$290	\$788
		Min	\$11	\$257	\$66	\$11
		#	1	3	2	6
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	Total	\$29	\$5,237		\$5,266
		Avg	\$5	\$187		\$155
		Max	\$16	\$720		\$720
		Min	\$0	\$34		\$0
		#	6	28		34

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
	Jury Trial - Acquittal	Total	\$286	\$33,403	\$5,663	\$39,353
		Avg	\$72	\$3,340	\$809	\$1,874
		Max	\$100	\$5,947	\$2,671	\$5,947
		Min	\$50	\$1,534	\$14	\$14
		#	4	10	7	21
	Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	Total	\$142	\$21,198	\$9,569	\$30,909
		Avg	\$142	\$2,650	\$1,595	\$2,061
		Max	\$142	\$4,604	\$7,725	\$7,725
		Min	\$142	\$230	\$90	\$90
		#	1	8	6	15
	Jury Trial - Guilty Original	Total	\$464	\$57,640	\$9,514	\$67,618
		Avg	\$66	\$2,745	\$595	\$1,537
		Max	\$149	\$6,140	\$1,577	\$6,140
		Min	\$29	\$228	\$64	\$29
		#	7	21	16	44
	No Bill - Grand Jury	Total	\$96	\$21,183	\$452	\$21,731
		Avg	\$5	\$214	\$226	\$181
		Max	\$53	\$1,004	\$330	\$1,004
		Min	\$0	\$28	\$122	\$0
		#	19	99	2	120
	No Conflict - PD Continued	Total		\$217		\$217
		Avg		\$54		\$54
		Max		\$80		\$80
		Min		\$25		\$25
		#		4		4
	Other	Total	\$93	\$19,155	\$4,779	\$24,026
		Avg	\$93	\$19,155	\$4,779	\$8,009
		Max	\$93	\$19,155	\$4,779	\$19,155

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Min	\$93	\$19,155	\$4,779	\$93
		#	1	1	1	3
	Plea To Reduced Charge	Total	\$1,064	\$140,168	\$6,904	\$148,136
		Avg	\$17	\$517	\$216	\$404
		Max	\$198	\$4,089	\$768	\$4,089
		Min	\$0	\$35	\$20	\$0
		#	64	271	32	367
	Plea To Top Charge	Total	\$411	\$40,789	\$3,976	\$45,176
		Avg	\$37	\$995	\$284	\$684
		Max	\$95	\$5,040	\$983	\$5,040
		Min	\$2	\$128	\$24	\$2
		#	11	41	14	66
	Transfer to Family Court	Total		\$802	\$198	\$1,000
		Avg		\$267	\$198	\$250
		Max		\$411	\$198	\$411
		Min		\$76	\$198	\$76
		#		3	1	4
	Y.O. Adjudication	Total	\$29	\$13,572	\$112	\$13,713
		Avg	\$6	\$565	\$37	\$429
		Max	\$15	\$3,454	\$80	\$3,454
		Min	\$0	\$111	\$12	\$0
		#	5	24	3	32
ABC Felony Total Fees			\$2,967	\$410,299	\$43,575	\$456,840
ABC Felony Avg			\$18	\$611	\$449	\$488
ABC Felony Max			\$198	\$19,155	\$7,725	\$19,155
ABC Felony Min			\$0	\$25	\$12	\$0
ABC Felony # Cases			167	672	97	936
Appellate	Appeal Judgement - Discontinued	Total	\$79	\$976		\$1,055

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Avg	\$39	\$488		\$264
		Max	\$69	\$824		\$824
		Min	\$10	\$152		\$10
		#	2	2		4
	Appeal Judgment - Affirmed	Total	\$2,459	\$48,246	\$1,868	\$52,573
		Avg	\$72	\$1,419	\$187	\$674
		Max	\$316	\$6,912	\$400	\$6,912
		Min	\$2	\$26	\$52	\$2
		#	34	34	10	78
	Appeal Judgment - Modified	Total		\$1,584		\$1,584
		Avg		\$1,584		\$1,584
		Max		\$1,584		\$1,584
		Min		\$1,584		\$1,584
		#		1		1
	Appeal Judgment - Reversed	Total	\$24	\$458	\$163	\$644
		Avg	\$24	\$229	\$163	\$161
		Max	\$24	\$234	\$163	\$234
		Min	\$24	\$224	\$163	\$24
		#	1	2	1	4
	Appeal Sentence - Affirmed	Total	\$972	\$23,178		\$24,150
		Avg	\$97	\$1,932		\$1,098
		Max	\$335	\$5,200		\$5,200
		Min	\$2	\$80		\$2
		#	10	12		22
	Appeal Sentence - Modified	Total		\$916		\$916
		Avg		\$916		\$916
		Max		\$916		\$916
		Min		\$916		\$916

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		#		1		1
	Petition Dismissed	Total	\$8	\$192		\$200
		Avg	\$8	\$192		\$100
		Max	\$8	\$192		\$192
		Min	\$8	\$192		\$8
		#	1	1		2
	Relieved By Court	Total		\$1,116		\$1,116
		Avg		\$1,116		\$1,116
		Max		\$1,116		\$1,116
		Min		\$1,116		\$1,116
		#		1		1
Appellate Total Fees			\$3,542	\$76,666	\$2,031	\$82,238
Appellate Avg			\$74	\$1,420	\$185	\$728
Appellate Max			\$335	\$6,912	\$400	\$6,912
Appellate Min			\$2	\$26	\$52	\$2
Appellate # Cases			48	54	11	113
DE Felony	A.C.D.	Total	\$10	\$879		\$889
		Avg	\$10	\$220		\$178
		Max	\$10	\$354		\$354
		Min	\$10	\$146		\$10
		#	1	4		5
	Bench Trial - Acquittal	Total	\$47	\$2,357	\$568	\$2,972
		Avg	\$47	\$1,179	\$568	\$743
		Max	\$47	\$1,469	\$568	\$1,469
		Min	\$47	\$888	\$568	\$47
		#	1	2	1	4
	Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser	Total		\$1,423		\$1,423
		Avg		\$1,423		\$1,423

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Max		\$1,423		\$1,423
		Min		\$1,423		\$1,423
		#		1		1
	Bench Warrant	Total	\$9	\$1,606		\$1,615
		Avg	\$9	\$401		\$323
		Max	\$9	\$707		\$707
		Min	\$9	\$100		\$9
		#	1	4		5
	Client Retained Own Counsel	Total	\$1	\$1,085		\$1,085
		Avg	\$1	\$121		\$109
		Max	\$1	\$254		\$254
		Min	\$1	\$29		\$1
		#	1	9		10
	Consolidated - Other Charges	Total	\$114	\$5,260	\$453	\$5,827
		Avg	\$57	\$292	\$227	\$265
		Max	\$113	\$1,614	\$295	\$1,614
		Min	\$1	\$13	\$158	\$1
		#	2	18	2	22
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	Total	\$70	\$5,788	\$50	\$5,908
		Avg	\$12	\$322	\$50	\$236
		Max	\$42	\$1,276	\$50	\$1,276
		Min	\$0	\$26	\$50	\$0
		#	6	18	1	25
	Dismissed - Felony Complaint	Total	\$45	\$3,335		\$3,380
		Avg	\$9	\$303		\$211
		Max	\$17	\$681		\$681
		Min	\$2	\$81		\$2
		#	5	11		16

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
	Dismissed - Indictment	Total		\$249		\$249
		Avg		\$124		\$124
		Max		\$127		\$127
		Min		\$121		\$121
		#		2		2
	Dismissed - Information	Total		\$1,883		\$1,883
		Avg		\$269		\$269
		Max		\$505		\$505
		Min		\$35		\$35
		#		7		7
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	Total	\$106	\$3,929		\$4,035
		Avg	\$35	\$196		\$175
		Max	\$81	\$743		\$743
		Min	\$2	\$17		\$2
		#	3	20		23
	Jury Trial - Acquittal	Total	\$90	\$6,310	\$872	\$7,272
		Avg	\$45	\$2,103	\$872	\$1,212
		Max	\$60	\$2,819	\$872	\$2,819
		Min	\$30	\$902	\$872	\$30
		#	2	3	1	6
	Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	Total		\$3,170		\$3,170
		Avg		\$1,585		\$1,585
		Max		\$1,755		\$1,755
		Min		\$1,415		\$1,415
		#		2		2
	Jury Trial - Guilty Original	Total	\$42	\$8,444	\$641	\$9,126
		Avg	\$42	\$2,815	\$214	\$1,304
		Max	\$42	\$4,390	\$335	\$4,390

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Min	\$42	\$1,588	\$106	\$42
		#	1	3	3	7
	No Bill - Grand Jury	Total	\$45	\$12,314	\$236	\$12,595
		Avg	\$6	\$268	\$118	\$225
		Max	\$21	\$956	\$216	\$956
		Min	\$1	\$83	\$20	\$1
		#	8	46	2	56
	No Conflict - PD Continued	Total		\$435	\$45	\$480
		Avg		\$435	\$45	\$240
		Max		\$435	\$45	\$435
		Min		\$435	\$45	\$45
		#		1	1	2
	Other	Total		\$16		\$16
		Avg		\$16		\$16
		Max		\$16		\$16
		Min		\$16		\$16
		#		1		1
	Plea To Reduced Charge	Total	\$461	\$59,135	\$1,360	\$60,955
		Avg	\$19	\$481	\$136	\$388
		Max	\$135	\$2,419	\$566	\$2,419
		Min	\$0	\$78	\$6	\$0
		#	24	123	10	157
	Plea To Top Charge	Total	\$167	\$19,664	\$236	\$20,068
		Avg	\$15	\$468	\$79	\$358
		Max	\$116	\$1,939	\$125	\$1,939
		Min	\$0	\$93	\$44	\$0
		#	11	42	3	56
	Relieved By Court	Total		\$135		\$135

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Avg		\$67		\$67
		Max		\$98		\$98
		Min		\$37		\$37
		#		2		2
	Stipulated Settlement	Total		\$386		\$386
		Avg		\$386		\$386
		Max		\$386		\$386
		Min		\$386		\$386
		#		1		1
	Y.O. Adjudication	Total		\$2,002		\$2,002
		Avg		\$250		\$250
		Max		\$588		\$588
		Min		\$50		\$50
		#		8		8
DE Felony Total			\$1,208	\$139,803	\$4,461	\$145,472
DE Felony Avg			\$18	\$426	\$186	\$348
DE Felony Max			\$135	\$4,390	\$872	\$4,390
DE Felony Min			\$0	\$13	\$6	\$0
DE Felony # Cases			66	328	24	418
Family Court	A.C.D.	Total	\$18	\$7,946		\$7,964
		Avg	\$3	\$418		\$306
		Max	\$8	\$1,488		\$1,488
		Min	\$0	\$84		\$0
		#	7	19		26
	Admit Petition	Total	\$31	\$9,434	\$14	\$9,478
		Avg	\$3	\$262	\$14	\$193
		Max	\$6	\$945	\$14	\$945
		Min	\$1	\$34	\$14	\$1

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		#	12	36	1	49
	Bench Warrant	Total	\$1			\$1
		Avg	\$1			\$1
		Max	\$1			\$1
		Min	\$1			\$1
		#	1			1
	Client Retained Own Counsel	Total	\$1	\$440		\$441
		Avg	\$1	\$220		\$147
		Max	\$1	\$408		\$408
		Min	\$1	\$33		\$1
		#	1	2		3
	Other	Total	\$30	\$1,370		\$1,400
		Avg	\$30	\$1,370		\$700
		Max	\$30	\$1,370		\$1,370
		Min	\$30	\$1,370		\$30
		#	1	1		2
	Petition Dismissed	Total	\$817	\$59,237	\$1,258	\$61,311
		Avg	\$13	\$268	\$314	\$211
		Max	\$230	\$2,483	\$782	\$2,483
		Min	\$0	\$8	\$52	\$0
		#	65	221	4	290
	Petition Found After Hearing	Total	\$177	\$20,668	\$242	\$21,087
		Avg	\$8	\$413	\$121	\$289
		Max	\$36	\$2,359	\$230	\$2,359
		Min	\$1	\$53	\$12	\$1
		#	21	50	2	73
	Relieved By Court	Total	\$51	\$1,504		\$1,556
		Avg	\$17	\$251		\$173

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Max	\$48	\$625		\$625
		Min	\$1	\$26		\$1
		#	3	6		9
	Stipulated Settlement	Total	\$2,006	\$219,609	\$1,541	\$223,156
		Avg	\$11	\$370	\$171	\$286
		Max	\$305	\$3,248	\$607	\$3,248
		Min	\$0	\$15	\$20	\$0
		#	176	594	9	779
Family Court Total			\$3,131	\$320,208	\$3,055	\$326,394
Family Court Avg			\$11	\$345	\$191	\$265
Family Court Max			\$305	\$3,248	\$782	\$3,248
Family Court Min			\$0	\$8	\$12	\$0
Family Court # Cases			287	929	16	1232
Misdemeanor	A.C.D.	Total	\$261	\$64,877	\$358	\$65,496
		Avg	\$5	\$253	\$179	\$207
		Max	\$33	\$4,113	\$300	\$4,113
		Min	\$0	\$13	\$58	\$0
		#	58	256	2	316
	Admit Petition	Total		\$89		\$89
		Avg		\$89		\$89
		Max		\$89		\$89
		Min		\$89		\$89
		#		1		1
	Alford Plea	Total	\$1	\$1,387		\$1,388
		Avg	\$1	\$693		\$463
		Max	\$1	\$1,160		\$1,160
		Min	\$1	\$227		\$1
		#	1	2		3

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
	Bench Trial - Acquittal	Total	\$1	\$3,269		\$3,269
		Avg	\$1	\$272		\$251
		Max	\$1	\$1,147		\$1,147
		Min	\$1	\$71		\$1
		#	1	12		13
	Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser	Total		\$690		\$690
		Avg		\$345		\$345
		Max		\$357		\$357
		Min		\$333		\$333
		#		2		2
	Bench Trial - Guilty Original	Total		\$800		\$800
		Avg		\$800		\$800
		Max		\$800		\$800
		Min		\$800		\$800
		#		1		1
	Bench Warrant	Total	\$84	\$11,300		\$11,384
		Avg	\$6	\$257		\$193
		Max	\$17	\$662		\$662
		Min	\$0	\$10		\$0
		#	15	44		59
	Client Retained Own Counsel	Total	\$12	\$1,811		\$1,823
		Avg	\$2	\$121		\$91
		Max	\$10	\$678		\$678
		Min	\$0	\$20		\$0
		#	5	15		20
	Consolidated - Other Charges	Total	\$25	\$4,495		\$4,520
		Avg	\$5	\$204		\$167
		Max	\$10	\$503		\$503

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Min	\$2	\$23		\$2
		#	5	22		27
	Dismissed - CPL 30.30	Total	\$105	\$13,990	\$350	\$14,445
		Avg	\$9	\$245	\$350	\$206
		Max	\$22	\$790	\$350	\$790
		Min	\$0	\$27	\$350	\$0
		#	12	57	1	70
	Dismissed - Felony Complaint	Total	\$3	\$1,100		\$1,103
		Avg	\$3	\$367		\$276
		Max	\$3	\$782		\$782
		Min	\$3	\$80		\$3
		#	1	3		4
	Dismissed - Information	Total	\$391	\$44,431	\$183	\$45,005
		Avg	\$8	\$269	\$92	\$209
		Max	\$45	\$935	\$145	\$935
		Min	\$0	\$8	\$38	\$0
		#	48	165	2	215
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	Total	\$31	\$9,711	\$10	\$9,752
		Avg	\$3	\$165	\$10	\$137
		Max	\$8	\$599	\$10	\$599
		Min	\$0	\$8	\$10	\$0
		#	11	59	1	71
	Incompetent to Stand Trial	Total	\$0	\$42		\$42
		Avg	\$0	\$42		\$21
		Max	\$0	\$42		\$42
		Min	\$0	\$42		\$0
		#	1	1		2
	Jury Trial - Acquittal	Total	\$12	\$6,133	\$75	\$6,220

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Avg	\$4	\$1,533	\$75	\$777
		Max	\$9	\$2,245	\$75	\$2,245
		Min	\$1	\$420	\$75	\$1
		#	3	4	1	8
	Jury Trial - Guilty Original	Total	\$200	\$3,007		\$3,207
		Avg	\$200	\$1,503		\$1,069
		Max	\$200	\$2,065		\$2,065
		Min	\$200	\$941		\$200
		#	1	2		3
	No Bill - Grand Jury	Total		\$149		\$149
		Avg		\$149		\$149
		Max		\$149		\$149
		Min		\$149		\$149
		#		1		1
	No Conflict - PD Continued	Total		\$28		\$28
		Avg		\$28		\$28
		Max		\$28		\$28
		Min		\$28		\$28
		#		1		1
	Plea To Reduced Charge	Total	\$373	\$116,896	\$664	\$117,933
		Avg	\$4	\$293	\$166	\$242
		Max	\$16	\$2,859	\$360	\$2,859
		Min	\$0	\$15	\$56	\$0
		#	85	399	4	488
	Plea To Top Charge	Total	\$203	\$61,451	\$50	\$61,703
		Avg	\$4	\$293	\$50	\$236
		Max	\$55	\$1,645	\$50	\$1,645
		Min	\$0	\$20	\$50	\$0

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		#	50	210	1	261
	Relieved By Court	Total		\$214		\$214
		Avg		\$214		\$214
		Max		\$214		\$214
		Min		\$214		\$214
		#		1		1
	Transfer to Family Court	Total		\$309		\$309
		Avg		\$155		\$155
		Max		\$162		\$162
		Min		\$147		\$147
		#		2		2
	Y.O. Adjudication	Total	\$50	\$8,427	\$12	\$8,489
		Avg	\$6	\$366	\$12	\$265
		Max	\$34	\$714	\$12	\$714
		Min	\$0	\$33	\$12	\$0
		#	8	23	1	32
Misdemeanor Total			\$1,752	\$354,606	\$1,702	\$358,060
Misdemeanor Avg			\$6	\$276	\$131	\$224
Misdemeanor Max			\$200	\$4,113	\$360	\$4,113
Misdemeanor Min			\$0	\$8	\$10	\$0
Misdemeanor # Cases			305	1283	13	1601
Other	Appeal Judgment - Affirmed	Total	\$9	\$168		\$177
		Avg	\$9	\$168		\$89
		Max	\$9	\$168		\$168
		Min	\$9	\$168		\$9
		#	1	1		2
	Client Retained Own Counsel	Total			\$740	\$740
		Avg			\$370	\$370

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Max			\$512	\$512
		Min			\$228	\$228
		#			2	2
	Other	Total	\$5	\$2,948	\$348	\$3,301
		Avg	\$2	\$184	\$174	\$165
		Max	\$5	\$398	\$228	\$398
		Min	\$0	\$50	\$120	\$0
		#	2	16	2	20
	Post Conviction - Denied	Total	\$141			\$141
		Avg	\$141			\$141
		Max	\$141			\$141
		Min	\$141			\$141
		#	1			1
	Relieved By Court	Total		\$280		\$280
		Avg		\$280		\$280
		Max		\$280		\$280
		Min		\$280		\$280
		#		1		1
Other Total			\$155	\$3,396	\$1,088	\$4,639
Other Avg			\$39	\$189	\$272	\$178
Other Max			\$141	\$398	\$512	\$512
Other Min			\$0	\$50	\$120	\$0
Other # Cases			4	18	4	26
Probation/Parole	Admit Petition	Total	\$54	\$30,583	\$845	\$31,481
		Avg	\$3	\$232	\$141	\$198
		Max	\$11	\$1,331	\$575	\$1,331
		Min	\$0	\$28	\$8	\$0
		#	21	132	6	159

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
	Appeal Judgment - Modified	Total	\$218	\$1,350		\$1,568
		Avg	\$218	\$1,350		\$784
		Max	\$218	\$1,350		\$1,350
		Min	\$218	\$1,350		\$218
		#	1	1		2
	Bench Trial - Guilty Original	Total		\$343		\$343
		Avg		\$343		\$343
		Max		\$343		\$343
		Min		\$343		\$343
		#		1		1
	Bench Warrant	Total		\$208		\$208
		Avg		\$208		\$208
		Max		\$208		\$208
		Min		\$208		\$208
		#		1		1
	Client Retained Own Counsel	Total		\$406		\$406
		Avg		\$135		\$135
		Max		\$163		\$163
		Min		\$115		\$115
		#		3		3
	Consolidated - Other Charges	Total	\$10	\$671		\$681
		Avg	\$3	\$134		\$85
		Max	\$7	\$278		\$278
		Min	\$2	\$35		\$2
		#	3	5		8
	Dismissed - Information	Total	\$2	\$38		\$40
		Avg	\$2	\$38		\$20
		Max	\$2	\$38		\$38

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Min	\$2	\$38		\$2
		#	1	1		2
	Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	Total	\$1	\$712		\$713
		Avg	\$1	\$178		\$143
		Max	\$1	\$383		\$383
		Min	\$1	\$77		\$1
		#	1	4		5
	Petition Dismissed	Total	\$10	\$2,590	\$130	\$2,729
		Avg	\$3	\$173	\$130	\$144
		Max	\$7	\$658	\$130	\$658
		Min	\$0	\$13	\$130	\$0
		#	3	15	1	19
	Petition Found After Hearing	Total	\$2	\$396		\$397
		Avg	\$2	\$396		\$199
		Max	\$2	\$396		\$396
		Min	\$2	\$396		\$2
		#	1	1		2
	Plea To Reduced Charge	Total		\$319		\$319
		Avg		\$160		\$160
		Max		\$230		\$230
		Min		\$89		\$89
		#		2		2
	Plea To Top Charge	Total		\$1,564		\$1,564
		Avg		\$261		\$261
		Max		\$492		\$492
		Min		\$93		\$93
		#		6		6
	Stipulated Settlement	Total		\$113		\$113

Panel	Disposition	Data	Attorney Expenses	Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total
		Avg		\$113		\$113
		Max		\$113		\$113
		Min		\$113		\$113
		#		1		1
Probation/Parole Total			\$295	\$39,292	\$975	\$40,561
Probation/Parole Avg			\$10	\$227	\$139	\$192
Probation/Parole Max			\$218	\$1,350	\$575	\$1,350
Probation/Parole Min			\$0	\$13	\$8	\$0
Probation/Parole # Cases			31	173	7	211
Total Total			\$13,050	\$1,344,269	\$56,885	\$1,414,204
Total Avg			\$14	\$389	\$331	\$312
Total Max			\$335	\$19,155	\$7,725	\$19,155
Total Min			\$0	\$8	\$6	\$0
Total # Cases			908	3457	172	4537